

**Table 2.** Rabbit's performance values as affected by the experimental diets.

Items	Control Diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets			SEM	Sig
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		
<b>Initial body weight(g)</b>	530.17	575.25	570.25	576.33	572.41	574.00	567.50	22.64	NS
<b>Final body weight (g)</b>	1836.50 <sup>ab</sup>	2026.33 <sup>a</sup>	2076.33 <sup>a</sup>	2047.42 <sup>a</sup>	1644.42 <sup>b</sup>	1945.00 <sup>a</sup>	1861.83 <sup>ab</sup>	76.28	**
<i>Daily weight gain (g)</i>									
<b>5-13 weeks of age</b>	17.85 <sup>c</sup>	21.01 <sup>ab</sup>	21.84 <sup>a</sup>	20.48 <sup>ab</sup>	17.02 <sup>c</sup>	18.91 <sup>bc</sup>	17.85 <sup>c</sup>	0.78	**
<i>Daily feed intake(g)</i>									
<b>5-13 weeks of age</b>	71.08 <sup>cd</sup>	76.57 <sup>b</sup>	82.18 <sup>a</sup>	76.32 <sup>bc</sup>	68. 14 <sup>d</sup>	74.12 <sup>bc</sup>	71.06 <sup>cd</sup>	1.90	*
<i>Feed conversion ratio</i>									
<b>5-13 weeks of age</b>	3.98 <sup>a</sup>	3.34 <sup>b</sup>	3.76 <sup>b</sup>	3.73 <sup>b</sup>	4.00 <sup>a</sup>	3.92 <sup>a</sup>	3.98 <sup>a</sup>	0.26	**
<b>Relative growth rate (%)</b>	99.37 <sup>b</sup>	101.52 <sup>ab</sup>	105.71 <sup>a</sup>	104.86 <sup>a</sup>	97.32 <sup>b</sup>	100.29 <sup>b</sup>	98.84 <sup>b</sup>	4.20	**
<b>Performance index (%)</b>	50.83 <sup>b</sup>	52.66 <sup>ab</sup>	55.75 <sup>a</sup>	55.23 <sup>a</sup>	47.00 <sup>b</sup>	52.55 <sup>ab</sup>	48.13 <sup>b</sup>	3.85	**

a, b, c, Means are bearing different superscripts in the same row , differed significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

. SE = Standard error. \*  $P \leq 0.05$ , \*\*  $P < 0.01$ , NS: Not significant.

**Table 3.** Digestibility coefficients of nutrients as affected by experimental treatments.

Items	Control Diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets			SEM	Sig.
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		
<b>DM</b>	69.40	69.40	69.22	69.37	69.20	69.45	69.37	0.25	NS
<b>OM</b>	65.37 <sup>d</sup>	67.48 <sup>b</sup>	68.68 <sup>a</sup>	68.18 <sup>a</sup>	66.41 <sup>c</sup>	65.57 <sup>d</sup>	65.75 <sup>d</sup>	0.17	**
<b>CP</b>	74.9 <sup>d</sup>	75.80 <sup>c</sup>	76.60 <sup>b</sup>	77.43 <sup>a</sup>	75.45 <sup>c</sup>	75.41 <sup>c</sup>	74.89 <sup>d</sup>	0.16	**
<b>CF</b>	30.67 <sup>d</sup>	33.28 <sup>c</sup>	35.40 <sup>b</sup>	37.43 <sup>a</sup>	31.11 <sup>d</sup>	31.22 <sup>d</sup>	33.23 <sup>c</sup>	0.48	**
<b>EE</b>	73.65	73.63	73.67	73.45	73..80	73.66	73.33	0.55	NS
<b>NFE</b>	75.89 <sup>cd</sup>	76.43 <sup>c</sup>	77.48 <sup>b</sup>	78.28 <sup>a</sup>	76.31 <sup>cd</sup>	75.90 <sup>cd</sup>	75.75 <sup>d</sup>	0.19	**
<b>DCP</b>	12.94 <sup>cd</sup>	13.03 <sup>c</sup>	13.17 <sup>b</sup>	13.31 <sup>a</sup>	12.97 <sup>cd</sup>	12.96 <sup>cd</sup>	12.87 <sup>d</sup>	0.04	**
<b>TDN</b>	63.56 <sup>d</sup>	64.81 <sup>c</sup>	65.52 <sup>b</sup>	66.39 <sup>a</sup>	63.60 <sup>d</sup>	63.53 <sup>d</sup>	63.82 <sup>d</sup>	0.17	**
<b>*DE kcal/kg</b>	2815.86 <sup>d</sup>	2871.08 <sup>c</sup>	2902.54 <sup>b</sup>	2940.93 <sup>a</sup>	2817.63 <sup>d</sup>	2814.23 <sup>d</sup>	2827.37 <sup>d</sup>	7.68	**

a, b, c, Means are bearing different superscripts in the same row , differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )

\*\*  $P < 0.01$       NS: Not significant. \*DE = TDN X 44.3 (Schneider and Flatt, 1975).

**Table 4.** Carcass dressing , edible goblets and Caecum percentages of growing rabbits fed on experimental diets.

Items	Control Diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets			SEM	Sig.
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		
<b>Carcass %</b>	54.62	53.81	54.62	54.77	54.25	55.37	54.99	1.35	NS
<b>Edible giblets,%</b>	7.07	7.26	7.14	6.60	6.59	6.66	7.25	0.06	NS
Liver, %	5.32	5.42	5.20	4.82	5.02	5.01	5.62	0.45	NS
Heart, %	0.56	0.70	0.66	0.65	0.51	0.53	0.57	0.07	NS
Kidneys ,%	1.13	1.13	1.28	1.12	1.06	1.11	1.07	0.08	NS
Lungs,%	1.21	1.45	1.09	1.35	0.97	1.25	1.25	0.23	NS
Caecum ,%	5.23	5.21	5.30	5.12	5.16	5.77	5.38	0.163	NS
<b>Dressing, %</b>	58.47	57.70	58.59	58.37	57.82	59.0	58.98	1.29	NS

NS: Not significant.

Dressing wt. = Empty carcass wt. (without head) + Edible giblets wt.; Edible giblets wt. = Liver wt. + Kidney wt. + Heart wt.  
; Total edible parts % = Total edible parts wt. / fasted wt. \*100.

**Table 5** Meat quality (chemical composition) of growing rabbits fed on experimental diets.

Items	Control Diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets			SEM	Sig.
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		
<b>Moisture</b>	73.09	72.99	72.73	72.21	72.41	72.05	72.05	0.35	NS
<b>Ash%</b>	1.41	1.22	1.28	1.22	1.43	1.59	1.60	0.13	NS
<b>CP%</b>	23.7 <sup>c</sup>	23.92 <sup>bc</sup>	24.41 <sup>bc</sup>	24.76 <sup>a</sup>	24.44 <sup>abc</sup>	24.59 <sup>ab</sup>	24.55 <sup>ab</sup>	0.22	**
<b>EE%</b>	1.73	1.87	1.58	1.81	1.72	1.78	1.80	0.17	NS

a, b, c, Means are bearing different superscripts in the same row , differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )

\*\*  $P < 0.01$ , NS: Not significant.

**Table 6.** Blood parameters of growing rabbits fed on experimental diets.

Items	Control Diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets			SE M	Sig.
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		
<b>Total protein (g/dl)</b>	4.72 <sup>c</sup>	6.11 <sup>ab</sup>	6.72 <sup>a</sup>	6.83 <sup>a</sup>	5.45 <sup>b</sup>	5.71 <sup>ab</sup>	5.82 <sup>ab</sup>	0.13	**
<b>Albumin(g/dl)</b>	2.01 <sup>c</sup>	2.99 <sup>a</sup>	2.79 <sup>a</sup>	2.91 <sup>a</sup>	2.51 <sup>b</sup>	2.73 <sup>a</sup>	2.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.11	**
<b>Globulin (g/dl)</b>	2.71 <sup>c</sup>	3.12 <sup>ab</sup>	3.93 <sup>a</sup>	3.92 <sup>a</sup>	2.94 <sup>b</sup>	2.98 <sup>b</sup>	3.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.02	**
<b>AST(u/l)</b>	35.54	35.52	33.25	34.54	32.62	33.55	33.65	0.38	NS
<b>ALT (u/l)</b>	27.65	24.75	24.32	23.65	25.35	26.43	27.21	0.45	NS
<b>CHOL (mmol/l)</b>	89.65 <sup>a</sup>	67.45 <sup>c</sup>	68.25 <sup>c</sup>	66.52 <sup>c</sup>	78.36 <sup>b</sup>	77.65 <sup>b</sup>	76.25 <sup>b</sup>	1.32	**
<b>HDL (mmol/l)</b>	25.36 <sup>b</sup>	41.63 <sup>a</sup>	43.65 <sup>a</sup>	44.09 <sup>a</sup>	49.54 <sup>a</sup>	45.36 <sup>a</sup>	47.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.65	**
<b>LDL (mmol/l)</b>	64.29 <sup>a</sup>	25.82 <sup>b</sup>	24.60 <sup>b</sup>	22.43 <sup>b</sup>	28.82 <sup>b</sup>	32.29 <sup>b</sup>	28.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.23	**
<b>T. lipids (mg/dl)</b>	435.52 <sup>a</sup>	369.54 <sup>b</sup>	368.36 <sup>b</sup>	362.35 <sup>b</sup>	375.46 <sup>b</sup>	373.65 <sup>b</sup>	372.34 <sup>b</sup>	8.65	**
<b>IgG (mg/ml)</b>	3.15 <sup>b</sup>	6.52 <sup>a</sup>	6.83 <sup>a</sup>	6.82 <sup>a</sup>	5.85 <sup>a</sup>	6.45 <sup>a</sup>	6.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.06	**
<b>IgM (mg/ml)</b>	2.45 <sup>b</sup>	3.74 <sup>a</sup>	3.65 <sup>a</sup>	3.85 <sup>a</sup>	3.21 <sup>a</sup>	3.56 <sup>a</sup>	3.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.04	**

a, b, c, Means are bearing different superscripts in the same row , differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )

\*\*  $P < 0.01$ , NS: Not significant.

**Table 7** Plasma antioxidant enzyme statuses of growing rabbits fed on experimental diets.

Items	Control Diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets			SEM	Sig.
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		
GPx ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ )	49.51 <sup>c</sup>	71.24 <sup>a</sup>	73.62 <sup>a</sup>	75.43 <sup>a</sup>	63.33 <sup>b</sup>	67.54 <sup>ab</sup>	68.45 <sup>ab</sup>	0.21	*
SOD (%)	51.21 <sup>c</sup>	74.36 <sup>a</sup>	78.54 <sup>a</sup>	79.24 <sup>a</sup>	65.51 <sup>b</sup>	66.52 <sup>b</sup>	69.35 <sup>b</sup>	0.52	**
CAT (mM/ml/min)	5.38 <sup>c</sup>	10.62 <sup>a</sup>	11.81 <sup>a</sup>	12.32 <sup>a</sup>	8.5 <sup>b</sup>	8.72 <sup>b</sup>	9.54 <sup>b</sup>	0.06	*

a, b, c, Means are bearing different superscripts in the same row , differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )

\*  $P \leq 0.05$ , \*\*  $P < 0.01$ ,

**Table 8.** Caecum contents of growing rabbits fed on experimental diets.

Items	Control Diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets			SEM	Sig.
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		
<b>Caecum pH</b>	6.00 <sup>b</sup>	6.10 <sup>ab</sup>	6.07 <sup>ab</sup>	6.43 <sup>ab</sup>	6.77 <sup>a</sup>	6.13 <sup>ab</sup>	5.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.22	**
<b>*TVFA (mg/100ml)</b>	3.72 <sup>e</sup>	5.11 <sup>b</sup>	5.25 <sup>ab</sup>	5.49 <sup>a</sup>	4.56 <sup>c</sup>	4.23 <sup>d</sup>	4.26 <sup>cd</sup>	0.10	**
<b>Ammonia (mg/100ml)</b>	9.84 <sup>a</sup>	8.48 <sup>b</sup>	8.49 <sup>b</sup>	8.17 <sup>d</sup>	8.56 <sup>b</sup>	8.26 <sup>cd</sup>	8.42 <sup>bc</sup>	0.06	**

a, b, c, d Means are bearing different superscripts in the same row , differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )

\*\*  $P < 0.01$ , NS: Not significant. TVFA: Total Volatile Fatty Acids.

**Table 9.** Microbial Cecum ( $\times 10^8$ <sup>1</sup> CFU/ml) as affected by the experimental diets.

Cecum microbes (CFU/ml)	Control Diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets			SEM	Sig.
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%		
<b>Aerobic total count</b>	7.40 <sup>a</sup>	5.59 <sup>b</sup>	5.15 <sup>b</sup>	5.30 <sup>b</sup>	5.44 <sup>b</sup>	5.57 <sup>b</sup>	5.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.15	**
<b>Fecal coliforms</b>	6.19 <sup>a</sup>	4.27 <sup>cd</sup>	4.21 <sup>d</sup>	4.23 <sup>d</sup>	4.24 <sup>d</sup>	4.55 <sup>bc</sup>	4.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.10	**
<b>E.coli</b>	5.26 <sup>a</sup>	3.05 <sup>b</sup>	2.99 <sup>b</sup>	2.93 <sup>b</sup>	3.11 <sup>b</sup>	3.13 <sup>b</sup>	3.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.08	**
<b>Bacillus cereus</b>	4.32 <sup>a</sup>	3.66 <sup>b</sup>	3.38 <sup>b</sup>	3.29 <sup>b</sup>	3.47 <sup>b</sup>	3.62 <sup>b</sup>	3.52 <sup>b</sup>	0.18	**
<b>Enterobacter</b>	5.76 <sup>a</sup>	3.26 <sup>c</sup>	3.78 <sup>b</sup>	3.37 <sup>bc</sup>	3.30 <sup>bc</sup>	3.68 <sup>bc</sup>	3.55 <sup>bc</sup>	0.14	**
<b>Clostridium sp</b>	2.26 <sup>a</sup>	1.48 <sup>bc</sup>	1.21 <sup>c</sup>	1.24 <sup>c</sup>	1.49 <sup>bc</sup>	1.47 <sup>bc</sup>	1.76 <sup>b</sup>	0.12	**
<b>Enterococcus</b>	3.18 <sup>a</sup>	2.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.48 <sup>bc</sup>	2.26 <sup>c</sup>	2.47 <sup>bc</sup>	2.47 <sup>bc</sup>	2.51 <sup>bc</sup>	0.12	**
<b>Yeasts</b>	5.19 <sup>b</sup>	6.21 <sup>a</sup>	6.25 <sup>a</sup>	6.39 <sup>a</sup>	6.34 <sup>a</sup>	6.31 <sup>a</sup>	6.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.07	**
<b>Salmonella &amp; Shigella</b>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	=	=

Each value is an average of 3 observations. LSD between treatments d.f (0.05).

ND =Not detected, Number of bacterial cells per gram of cecum content ( $\log_{10}$ -1 CFU/ml)

<sup>1</sup>CFU (Colony forming unite).

**Table 10.** Economic efficiency of using the experimental diets.

Items	Control diet	Level of Papaya Leaf extract in diets			Level of Papaya Leaf in diets		
		1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%
<b>Total weight gain (kg)</b>	1.070	1.260	1.310	1.228	1.096	1.134	1.070
<b>Price of 1kg body weight</b>	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
<b>Selling price/rabbit (LE) (A)</b>	48.15	56.70	58.95	55.26	49.32	51.03	48.15
<b>Total feed intake</b>	4.33	4.63	4.93	4.58	4.12	4.46	4.26
<b>Price/kg diets (LE)</b>	4.17	4.20	4.21	4.22	4.18	4.19	4.19
<b>Total feed cost/rabbit (LE)(B)</b>	18.06	19.45	20.76	19.33	17.22	18.69	17.85
<b>Net revenue(LE)<sup>1</sup></b>	30.09	37.25	38.19	35.93	32.10	32.34	30.3
<b>Economic efficiency<sup>2</sup></b>	1.67	1.92	1.84	1.86	1.86	1.73	1.70
<b>Relative Econ. Eff.<sup>3</sup></b>	100	115	110.18	111.38	111.38	103.59	101.02

(1) Net revenue = A – B.

(2) Economic efficiency = (A-B/B x 100).

(3) Relative Economic Efficiency= Economic efficiency of treatments other than the control/ Economic efficiency of the control group.